FBS21 – Identifiler® Plus Interpretation

Table of Contents

- 1. Scope
- 2. Background
- 3. Safety
- 4. Materials Required
- 5. Standards and Controls
- 6. Procedures
 - 6.1 Overall Interpretation Outline
 - 6.2 Profile Assessment
 - 6.3 Determination of Single Source or Mixture Profiles
 - 6.4 Determination of the Number of Contributors
 - 6.5 Application of Assumptions for Known Contributors
 - 6.6 When to Perform STRmix Analysis
 - 6.7 Comparisons of Interpreted Profiles to Reference Samples
 - 6.8 Statistical Analysis
- 7. Sampling
- 8. Calculations
- 9. Uncertainty of Measurement
- 10. Limitations
- 11. Documentation
- 12 References

1. Scope

1.1. This procedure outlines the guidelines used to interpret the results obtained from the GeneMapper® ID-X (GMID-X) analysis and STRmix™ software programs for samples amplified with Identifiler® Plus. These guidelines ensure that conclusions in casework reports are scientifically supported by the analytical data (including data from appropriate standards and controls) and interpretations are made objectively, are consistent from analyst to analyst, and are within previously validated limits

2. Background

2.1. These practices set forth the FSL FBU's approach for conducting and documenting the interpretation of DNA profiles generated from evidence in conformance with the requirements of the Department of Forensic Sciences (DFS) Forensic Science Laboratory (FSL) Quality Assurance Manual, the accreditation standards under ISO/IEC 17025, and the supplemental standards set by the FSL's accrediting body, as well as the guidance provided in the Scientific Working Group on DNA Analysis Methods (SWGDAM) Interpretation Guidelines for Autosomal STR Typing by Forensic DNA Laboratories and the Federal Bureau of

FBS21 – Identifiler® Plus Interpretation Guidelines

Page **1** of **22**

Document Control Number: 2519

Approved By: Director

Revision: 6 Issue Date: 10/6/2020 9:57:15 AM

- Investigation Quality Assurance Standards (QAS) for Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories.
- 2.2. The interpretation of results in casework is a matter of professional judgment and expertise. Not every situation can, or should, be covered by a pre-set rule; nor is it expected that competent analysts will always be in full agreement in all instances. However, in an effort to achieve uniformity in approach, the Laboratory has developed and adheres to these basic guidelines for the interpretation of analytical results. The principles are based on the manufacturer's user guides and manuals, internal validation studies, scientific literature references, casework experiences, SWGDAM documents, the FBI's QAS, guidance from the DFS Science Advisory Board, and input from the forensic community at large.

3. Safety

3.1. Not applicable

4. Materials Required

- 4.1. GeneMapper® ID-X Software, versions 1.3 or 1.4
- 4.2. STRmix™ Software, version 2.3
- 4.3. Windows-based computer capable of running the software

5. Standards and Controls

5.1. AmpF/STR Identifiler® Plus Loci

FBS21 – Identifiler® Plus Interpretation Guidelines Document Control Number: 2519 Revision: 6

Approved By: Director

Page **2** of **22**

Locus designation	Chromosome location	Alleles included in Identifiler® Plus Allelic Ladder	Dye label	Control DNA 9947A
D8S1179	8	8, 9 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19	6-FAM [™]	13 [‡]
D21S11	21q11.2-q21	24, 24.2, 25, 26, 27, 28, 28.2, 29, 29.2, 30, 30.2, 31, 31.2, 32, 32.2, 33, 33.2, 34, 34.2, 35, 35.2, 36, 37, 38		30§
D7S820	7q11.21-22	6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15		10, 11
CSF1PO	5q33.3-34	6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15		10, 12
D3S1358	3p	12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19	VIC®	14, 15
TH01	11p15.5	4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 9.3, 10, 11, 13.3		8, 9.3
D13S317	13q22-31	8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15	-	11#
D16S539	16q24-qter	5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12,13, 14, 15		11, 12
D2S1338	2q35-37.1	15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28		19, 23
D19S433	19q12-13.1	9, 10, 11, 12, 12.2, 13, 13.2, 14, 14.2, 15, 15.2, 16, 16.2, 17, 17.2	NED™	14, 15
vWA	12p12-pter	11,12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24		17, 18
TPOX	2p23-2per	6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13	-	8 ^{‡‡}
D18S51	18q21.3	7, 9, 10, 10.2, 11, 12, 13, 13.2, 14, 14.2, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27		15, 19
Amelogenin	X: p22.1-22.3	X, Y	PET®	X
	Y: p11.2			
D5S818	5q21-31	7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16	1	11 ^{§§}
FGA	4q28	17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 26.2, 27, 28, 29, 30, 30.2, 31.2, 32.2, 33.2, 42.2, 43.2, 44.2, 45.2, 46.2, 47.2, 48.2, 50.2, 51.2		23, 24

[‡] For CODIS purposes, profile reported as 13, 13. § For CODIS purposes, profile reported as 30, 30.

- 5.2. GeneScan™-500 LIZ® Size Standard contains 16 single-stranded dye-labeled nucleotide fragments of the following lengths (in bases): 35, 50, 75, 100, 139, 150, 160, 200, 250, 300, 340, 350, 400, 450, 490, and 500.
 - **NOTE:** The 250bp peak is sensitive to small temperature variations during electrophoresis and migrates at approximately 246bp. Accordingly, the 250 base fragment is used only as an indicator of precision within an injection and a run.
- 5.3. Positive Amplification Control (AmpFISTR® Control DNA 9947A) the positive amplification control is a human female DNA sample with known autosomal STR genotypes included in the Identifiler® Plus amplification/typing kit. See above diagram for allele calls.
- 5.4. Negative Amplification Control the negative amplification control is a check for contamination during set up of the PCR amplification reaction. It essentially monitors the "environment" in that process for possible sources of contamination.

FBS21 – Identifiler® Plus Interpretation Guidelines **Document Control Number: 2519** Revision: 6

Approved By: Director Issue Date: 10/6/2020 9:57:15 AM

[#] For CODIS purposes, profile reported as 11, 11.

^{‡‡} For CODIS purposes, profile reported as 8, 8.

^{§§} For CODIS purposes, profile reported as 11, 11.

5.5. Reagent Blank (extraction control) – the reagent blank is a check for possible contamination of the extraction reagents by human DNA or by amplified STR product.

6. Procedures

- 6.1. Overall Interpretation Outline
 - 6.1.1. The analytical controls are evaluated and confirmed.
 - 6.1.2. Artifacts inherent to the PCR process as well as those generated by the detection instrumentation are isolated and eliminated.
 - 6.1.3. The overall profile is evaluated to assess its quality.
 - 6.1.4. The overall profile is defined as a single source or mixture.
 - 6.1.5. The potential number of contributors is determined.
 - 6.1.6. Profiles may be deconvoluted and/or compared to the known profiles submitted in connection with the case in order to make a forensic conclusion regarding the potential exclusion or inclusion of each individual as a contributor(s) of/to each sample.

6.2. Profile Assessment

- 6.2.1. Peak Evaluation as a profile is evaluated, each peak identified by the GMID-X software must be checked to confirm it is a valid allele call. Typical characteristics and requirements related to the shape, size and location of a true allele are listed below along with a variety of recognizable artifacts.
 - 6.2.1.1. Alleles a reportable, true allele peak is defined as a distinct, triangular section of an electropherogram that is equal to or higher than the analytical threshold (AT). The analytical threshold is the minimum signal at which a peak can reliably be distinguished from noise. During internal validation studies, the following dye-specific ATs have been established for samples amplified with Identifiler® Plus, injected for 10 seconds at 3kV on a 3130xl instrument, and analyzed by GMID-X:

Dye Color	AT
Blue	58
Green	46
Yellow	72
Red	56
Orange	70

FBS21 – Identifiler® Plus Interpretation Guidelines

Page **4** of **22**

Document Control Number: 2519

Approved By: Director

Revision: 6

- 6.2.1.1.1. Based on an initial review of results, when appropriate and quantity of sample allows, the analyst may opt to concentrate the extract and re-amplify, re-amplify with more and/or less template DNA, and/or re-extract. When a sample is analyzed more than one time, the first page of the electropherogram used for reporting purposes will be clearly marked.
- 6.2.1.2. Off Ladder Alleles (OL): An off ladder allele occurs when an allele, in relationship to the allelic ladder, is between two allelic ladder peaks or before or after the peaks in the allelic ladder and not thought to be due to migration effects. In this instance, the peak is designated as "OL" by the GMID-X software.
 - 6.2.1.2.1. When an OL allele occurs between two allelic ladder peaks, this allele will be considered to be a microvariant. The analyst will assign an allele designation based on the base pair value of the allele. The allele will be assigned a designation of the lower complete repeat value followed by the number of bases in the incomplete repeat (e.g., an allele which migrates two bases above the D7S820 11 allele will be designated as D7S820 11.2).
 - 6.2.1.2.2. When an allele is seen between two loci and either the locus to the left or the right contains two peaks, the allele will be considered to belong to the locus containing the single peak. Based on the base pair value of the allele, when possible, an allele designation will be assigned (e.g., an allele is noted between D8S1179 and D21S11 and a two allele pattern is noted only at the D21S11 locus, the allele is assigned to the D8S1179 locus. Further, given that the base pair value for the allele in this example is approximately 4 base pairs longer than the longest allele in the D8S1179 ladder (allele 19), the allele in question may be designated as 20). The allele may also be designated as > or < the closest allele in the allelic ladder on the electropherogram print-out. For STRmix purposes, the locus will be ignored. If an allele is detected between FGA

FBS21 – Identifiler® Plus Interpretation Guidelines Page **5** of **22**Document Control Number: 2519 Approved By: Director Revision: 6 Issue Date: 10/6/2020 9:57:15 AM

- 33.2 and 42.2, the allele will be designated as >33.2. When an allele is seen above the largest or below the smallest allele of an allelic ladder, the allele will be designated as either > or < the respective ladder allele (e.g., an allele which migrates below the D3S1358 12 allele will be designated as D3S1358 <12) on the electropherogram print-out. For STRmix purposes, the locus will be ignored.
- 6.2.1.2.3. The sample will be re-injected or re-run to confirm the allele designation of the microvariant. The additional view of the re-injected/re-run sample electropherogram will be designated as listed in section 6.2.1.2.1 and printed/maintained in the case file.
- 6.2.1.2.4. If an allele is seen between two loci and the surrounding loci each contain only a single peak (or two peaks), both loci will be deemed inconclusive.

6.2.1.3. Artifacts/Non-Allelic Peaks:

- 6.2.1.3.1. Stutter Products a stutter peak is a well-characterized PCR artifact that refers to the appearance of a minor peak one repeat unit smaller (or less frequently, one repeat larger) than the major STR product. All of the loci in the Identifiler® Plus kit are tetranucleotide repeat units. Thus when present, the reverse stutter peak will be four bases shorter (or N-4), while the forward stutter peak will be four bases longer (or N+4). In general for each locus, the percent reverse stutter increases with allele length.
 - 6.2.1.3.1.1. The proportion of the stutter product relative to the main allele (percent stutter) is measured by dividing the height of the peak in the stutter position by the height of the main allele peak.
 - 6.2.1.3.1.2. The following table lists the reverse stutter percentages expected for each locus as determined by internal validation studies for the Identifiler® Plus kit.

FBS21 – Identifiler® Plus Interpretation Guidelines Page **6** of **22**Document Control Number: 2519 Approved By: Director

Revision: 6 Issue Date: 10/6/2020 9:57:15 AM

Locus	N-4 Stutter %	Locus	N-4 Stutter %	Locus	N-4 Stutter %	Locus	N-4 Stutter %
D8S1179	10.48	D3S1358	11.22	D19S433	11.47	Amelogenin	
D21S11	9.87	TH01	4.04	vWA	10.63	D5S818	8.46
D7S820	7.93	D13S317	9.07	TPOX	6.92	FGA	11.86
CSF1PO	8.50	D16S539	9.76	D18S51	13.60		
		D2S1338	11.90				

6.2.1.3.1.3. The following table lists the forward stutter percentages expected for each locus as determined by the internal validation studies for the Identifiler® Plus kit:

Locus	N+4 Stutter %	Locus	N+4 Stutter %	Locus	N+4 Stutter %	Locus	N+4 Stutter %
D8S1179	1.81	D3S1358	2.13	D19S433	2.90	Amelogenin	
D21S11	2.84	TH01	2.17	vWA	2.85	D5S818	2.66
D7S820	2.52	D13S317	3.01	TPOX	2.27	FGA	4.54
CSF1PO	2.67	D16S539	1.82	D18S51	4.64		
		D2S1338	4.74				

- 6.2.1.3.1.4. The stutter cut-off values for each locus determined during the internal validation studies are included in the filtering step of the initial GMID-X analysis. A peak in a stutter position that is above the stutter cut-off value will not be filtered. Minor peaks in a stutter position that have not been filtered will remain labeled and should be further evaluated. These peaks may be called elevated stutter at the analyst's discretion based on the specific circumstances.
- 6.2.1.3.1.5. Stutter peaks are often elevated in profiles with higher rfu values. In particular, the percent stutter

FBS21 - Identifiler® Plus Interpretation Guidelines **Document Control Number: 2519**

Approved By: Director

Page **7** of **22**

Revision: 6 UNCONTROLLED WHEN PRINTED

- for peaks that are off-scale may be unusually high.
- 6.2.1.3.1.6. Likewise, samples with stochastic effects due to very low input DNA may also have stutter peaks that are elevated.
- 6.2.1.3.1.7. If there is no indication of a mixture in a profile, the labeled minor peaks in N-4 and N+4 stutter positions can most often be attributed to elevated stutter. If a mixture is observed, labeled minor peaks in N-4 and N+4 stutter positions must be carefully evaluated. When they cannot be attributed to elevated stutter, they will be taken into consideration during the mixture interpretation process.
- 6.2.1.3.1.8. When a peak is present between a parent peak and its possible stutter peak, the stutter filter will not be applied by the software. Accordingly, any such peaks in stutter position must be assessed to determine if they may be unfiltered stutter (e.g., when the result at D21S11 is 33.2. 34. 34.2, the 33.2 peak must be assessed to see if it meets the criteria for stutter corresponding to the 34.2 peak, or when minus A is present, a peak in the stutter position must be assessed manually).
- 6.2.1.3.2. Spikes a spike is a random non-reproducible artifact usually observed in one or more dye colors at the same base pair location, generally seen as a tall thin peak that does not display proper peak morphology. Peak height (rfu) usually varies between dye colors. Samples and positive controls containing spikes within the defined analysis range (75 450bp) do not

FBS21 – Identifiler® Plus Interpretation Guidelines Page **8** of **22**Document Control Number: 2519 Approved By: Director

Revision: 6 Issue Date: 10/6/2020 9:57:15 AM

need to be re-injected or re-run if the spike does not interfere with the sizing of the sample.

- 6.2.1.3.3. Dye Blobs dye blobs generally occur between 60 and 90 base pairs, but can occasionally occur outside this range. Dye blobs usually appear as a broad peak in a single color. Samples and positive controls containing dye blobs within the defined analysis range (75 450bp) do not need to be re-injected or re-run if the dye blob does not interfere with the sizing of the sample.
- 6.2.1.3.4. Pull-up (Bleed-through) – pull-up peaks are caused by one peak "bleeding" into another color. Unusually high pull-up (>3%) or extra peaks can be caused by oversaturation of the system or a problem with the matrix. Pull-up peaks are a result of the matrix not correcting for all the spectral overlap. These peaks are easily recognized by overlaying the colors and observing the alignment of peaks at (typically +/- 0.25bp) the same data point or evaluating the sample's raw data. Samples and controls exhibiting pull-up peaks do not need to be reinjected or re-run if it does not interfere with the sizing of the samples. When an ongoing matrix problem exists, a new spectral must be run, applied and the samples re-injected/rerun.
- 6.2.1.3.5. Split Peaks/Minus A/Non-template Nucleotide Addition – split or minus A peaks may be seen in a sample if amplification did not go to completion. Tag polymerase catalyzes the addition of a single nucleotide (predominantly adenosine) to the 3' ends of double stranded PCR products. This non-template addition results in a PCR product that is one base pair longer than the actual target sequence (+A). Split peaks and minus A are a result of the incomplete addition of an adenosine, where each allele is represented by two peaks one base pair apart (-A and +A). Split or minus A peaks may be observed when the amount of input DNA is greater than recommended. This occurs because more time is needed for the Tag polymerase to add the A nucleotide to all

FBS21 – Identifiler® Plus Interpretation Guidelines

Document Control Number: 2519

Revision: 6

Page **9** of **22**Approved By: Director

Issue Date: 10/6/2020 9:57:15 AM

- molecules with each cycle. Samples with apparent excess PCR product may be reamplified using less input DNA.
- 6.2.1.3.6. Shoulders shoulder peaks are approximately 1 4bp smaller, but occasionally larger than the main allele, caused by a flat decline of the fluorescence of the main peak. Shoulders are easily recognized because they do not have proper peak morphology. Samples and controls exhibiting shoulders do not need to be re-injected or re-run if it does not interfere with the sizing of the samples.
- 6.2.1.3.7. <u>Elevated Baseline</u> raised baseline will appear as non-specific elevation of the baseline causing signal(s) to be labeled. These labeled signals do not typically display proper peak morphology. Samples and controls exhibiting elevated baseline do not need to be re-injected or re-run if it does not interfere with the sizing of the samples.
- Identifiler® Plus Kit Artifacts artifacts and 6.2.1.3.8. anomalies have been seen in data produced using the Identifiler® Plus Kits, in general, and during QC of specific kit lot numbers. The shape of these artifacts and anomalies is not consistent with the shape of labeled DNA fragments as seen on the 3130x/ Instrument. Artifacts are typically reproducible while anomalies (such as spikes or baseline noise) are not observed consistently and are typically not reproducible. Analysts should consider these artifacts and anomalies when interpreting data. In general, samples and controls exhibiting these types of non-specific artifacts and/or anomalies do not need to be re-injected or re-run.
- 6.2.1.3.9. Off-scale Peaks/Data an off-scale peak occurs when the fluorescent signal exceeds the dynamic detection range of the CCD camera in the 3130x/ Genetic Analyzer. Capillary electrophoresis instruments are limited in the amount of fluorescence they can detect. Signal saturation will be indicated by the presence of a pink line through the allele(s)

FBS21 – Identifiler® Plus Interpretation Guidelines Page **10** of **22** Document Control Number: 2519 Approved By: Director

Revision: 6 Issue Date: 10/6/2020 9:57:15 AM

and the corresponding GMID-X quality flag. The GMID-X software is unable to determine the true peak heights for these alleles. Their values should not be used for quantitative evaluation (e.g., heterozygote balance calculations or stutter calculations). In addition, off-scale data may cause many of the above listed artifacts. As needed, samples containing off-scale data should be re-amplified at a lower target concentration.

- 6.2.1.3.9.1. Some samples may appear to be overloaded without displaying a GMID-X quality flag. At the discretion of the analyst, these may be either edited or the sample re-amplified at a lower concentration.
- 6.2.1.3.9.2. Evidence mixture profiles that are above 7000 rfu will be reamplified and rerun using a decreased template amount.
- 6.2.2. Overall Profile Quality the overall quality of each profile, as well as the potential number of contributors and any relevant source information, will be assessed prior to any in-depth determinations about the data observed. The presence of apparent degradation, inhibition, or significant stochastic effects in a profile will be considered during the interpretation process and may influence the assessments made at each locus. In addition, the number of potential contributors to a sample will affect how the sample is interpreted. It is also possible that knowledge or perceived knowledge regarding a potential contributor(s) to a mixture sample may affect sample interpretation (e.g., an intimate swab with semen positive results). These observations will be documented in the case file (e.g. electropherogram and/or STRmix report).
 - 6.2.2.1. Degradation: DNA degradation is a process in which DNA molecules randomly break down into smaller pieces. This becomes problematic for forensic DNA typing when it occurs within the target DNA sequences of PCR. If the DNA has been degraded or damaged at these locations, sister peak height imbalance, abnormally high stutter product(s), allele dropout, and/or locus dropout may be observed. Typically, degraded profiles will display a downward sloping or "skislope" pattern from left to right for each dye. This pattern is due to the larger/longer loci being more likely to contain a break due to degradation than the smaller loci. It is

FBS21 – Identifiler® Plus Interpretation Guidelines

Document Control Number: 2519

Revision: 6

Page **11** of **22**Approved By: Director

Issue Date: 10/6/2020 9:57:15 AM

- important to note that it is possible for the profile from one or more donors in a multiple contributor profile to exhibit degradation in the absence of observed profile degradation of the other donor(s).
- 6.2.2.2. Inhibition: DNA samples may contain one or more of the PCR inhibitors commonly encountered in forensic casework. The presence of inhibitors may manifest itself by the failure to produce results at some or all loci and may mimic results seen when degradation is present. Samples containing inhibitors often produce partial profile results in which the smaller loci drop out before the larger loci.
- 6.2.2.3. Within STRmix™ V2.3, dropout is modeled as an extreme form of imbalance.
- 6.3. Determination of Single Source or Mixture Profile
 - 6.3.1. General Single Source Profile Attributes: A single source profile will typically have no more than two relatively well-balanced alleles (i.e. Heterozygote balance (Hb), 0.55 ≤ Hb ≤ 1.82) at all loci. In rare instances, an individual may exhibit a tri-allelic pattern at a locus. When a tri-allelic pattern is noted and not confirmed by multiple observations in a case, the sample will typically be re-amplified to confirm its presence.
 - 6.3.1.1. When a tri-allelic pattern is noted, the results will be denoted, though the locus will not be included in the statistical calculation.
 - 6.3.2. Following determination that a profile is single source, all of the profile data, as well as the data at each locus, is assessed to determine whether the result(s) may be used for comparisons. Single source profiles exhibiting less than 3 alleles across 2 loci will be deemed uninterpretable and reported as such.
 - 6.3.3. General Mixture Profile Attributes: A mixture profile typically has more than two alleles at one or more loci (with a tri-allelic pattern as the exception) and/or exhibits significant imbalance between peak heights at one or more loci. The presence of more than two peaks at one locus or imbalanced peak heights does not, in and of itself, necessitate a profile being designated as a mixture. While it may be possible to infer a sample is a mixture based on > 2 alleles/peaks and/or significant peak height imbalance at a single locus, it is preferable to observe characteristics consistent with a mixture at no fewer than two loci.
 - 6.3.3.1. **NOTE**: As a guideline, peak height imbalance would be assessed using the heterozygote balance (Hb) formula as listed in the Calculations section $8.1 (0.55 \le \text{Hb} \le 1.82)$.

FBS21 – Identifiler® Plus Interpretation Guidelines
Document Control Number: 2519
Revision: 6 Issue

Page **12** of **22**

- 6.3.4. Following determination that a profile consists of a mixture, all of the profile data, as well as the data at each locus, is assessed to determine whether the result(s) may be used for comparison.
- 6.4. Determination of the Number of Contributors
 - 6.4.1. Generally, the minimum number of contributors to a mixed sample can be determined based on the locus that exhibits the greatest number of allelic peaks. For example, if at most five alleles are observed at a locus, then the DNA results are consistent with having arisen from at least three individuals. Proceed with caution when only one allele in the entire sample would lead to an increased number of possible contributors, as stutter, imbalance, and the potential for a tri-allele can complicate mixture interpretation. Loci more likely to exhibit a tri-allele pattern are D18S51, D21S11, FGA, TPOX, and vWA. While counting allele peaks is very useful in determining a minimum number of contributors, the analyst must also consider that allele sharing between individuals may result in an underestimate of the actual number of contributors.
 - 6.4.2. Minimum Number of Contributors Assessment: The minimum number of contributors to a mixture will generally be determined by examining the locus demonstrating the largest number of peaks ≥ the analysis method AT parameters. The peaks are counted at that locus and then divided by two. The resulting quotient is rounded up to the nearest integer for the minimum number of contributors.
 - 6.4.2.1. In general, a profile containing a mixture of DNA from two people will have no more than four alleles at all loci; a profile containing a mixture of DNA from three people will have no more than six alleles at all loci; and so on (i.e., there will be no more than 2 x [the number of contributors] alleles at all loci).
 - 6.4.2.2. Ultimately, the number of alleles and their rfus will be used to more accurately assess the number of potential contributors to a mixed profile.
 - 6.4.2.3. Low-level profiles (especially at loci with alleles that exhibit stochastic effects) may not exhibit more than two alleles at any locus but information gleaned from the profile as a whole may allow for the profile to be characterized as a mixed sample.
 - 6.4.2.4. Conversely, significant peak height imbalance at multiple loci in samples amplified with the target amount of input DNA may provide information regarding the potential for an additional contributor(s) to a mixture profile (e.g., as in mixtures containing DNA from two or more biologically related individuals).

FBS21 – Identifiler® Plus Interpretation Guidelines Document Control Number: 2519 Revision: 6

Page **13** of **22**

- 6.4.2.4.1. Peak height imbalance can be assessed using heterozygote balance (Hb). Refer to the calculation listed in section 8.1. In some cases, genotype combinations may be paired based on heterozygote balance to further determine the number of contributors.
- 6.4.2.4.2. It is noted that it may not be possible to accurately assess the potential number of contributors to mixture profiles exhibiting characteristics consistent with having at least 3 contributors.
- 6.4.2.5. Indistinguishable Number of Contributors: Sometimes the number of contributors may be unclear due to the complexity of the profile. If an analyst is unclear as to the number of contributors present in a mixture the analyst will run STRmix™ analysis as follows:
 - 6.4.2.5.1. 2 or 3 contributors: Run the mixture as both 2 and 3 person contributor profiles.
 - 6.4.2.5.2. 3 or 4 contributors: Run the mixture as both 3 and 4 person contributor profiles.
 - 6.4.2.5.3. 4 or 5 contributors: The profile will be deemed uninterpretable.
 - 6.4.2.5.4. 5 or more contributors: The profile will be deemed uninterpretable.
 - 6.4.2.5.5. If the profile is low level and the number of contributors cannot be assessed as either 2 or 3 contributors or as 3 or 4 contributors, the profile will be deemed uninterpretable.
- 6.5. Application of Assumptions for Known Contributors
 - 6.5.1. Assumptions are valid when a known contributor is presumed to be present on an intimate item. Intimate samples are defined as biological evidence samples taken directly from an individual's body (e.g. vaginal swabs, swabs from a bite mark on skin, fingernail scrapings, etc).
 - 6.5.2. Assumptions are also valid when a known contributor is presumed to be present on a personal item(s) (e.g. underwear, clothing, bedding, steering wheel of personal vehicle, etc.) as long as there is reasonable expectation of ownership/usage.
 - 6.5.3. The presence of an additional known contributor (e.g. consensual partner) may also be made when deemed appropriate.
 - 6.5.4. All assumptions must be clearly stated in the Report of Examination.

FBS21 – Identifiler® Plus Interpretation Guidelines
Document Control Number: 2519
Revision: 6 Is:

- 6.5.5. The analyst will make a visual (qualitative) comparison between the assumed contributor profile and the evidence profile to ensure the assumed contributor is present in the evidence profile.
- 6.5.6. With respect to intimate samples, failure to detect the full genotype of the assumed contributor at all loci or at a locus will not be automatic cause for exclusion. Indeed, for intimate samples potential assumed contributor genotype information/results will be critically reviewed to ensure interpretations 'make sense'. In general, detection of an individual's genotype on a sample obtained from their body is considered a nonprobative result.
- 6.6. When to Perform STRmix Analysis
 - 6.6.1. Single Source Profiles:
 - All probative single source profiles that match a known 6.6.1.1. reference will be uploaded for STRmix™ analysis. Refer to FBS25 - Data Analysis Using STRmix™.
 - 6.6.2. Mixture Profiles:
 - 6.6.2.1. All 2, 3, and 4 person mixture profiles need to be deconvoluted using the STRmix[™] software. Refer to FBS25 - Data Analysis Using STRmix™. The following are exceptions:
 - 6.6.2.1.1. The profile has been deemed uninterpretable (see sections 6.4.2.5.3, 6.4.2.5.4 and 6.4.2.5.5).
 - 6.6.2.1.2. Based on CODIS eligibility, within the context of available case information, non-CODIS eligible mixture profiles without an associated reference will not be deconvoluted
 - 6.6.2.1.2.1. If an inclusionary reference sample is provided at a later date, a deconvolution will then be performed.
- 6.7. Comparison of Interpreted Profiles to Reference Samples
 - 6.7.1. After interpretation has been completed on all case profiles, comparisons to reference samples submitted in connection with the investigation are conducted, if applicable.
 - 6.7.1.1. Inclusions: Comparison of data between an unknown sample and a known sample are consistent with each other. A known sample may be included to a single source sample full (complete profile) or partial (results not obtained at all loci), or included into a mixed sample.

FBS21 – Identifiler® Plus Interpretation Guidelines Document Control Number: 2519 Approved By: Director Revision: 6

Issue Date: 10/6/2020 9:57:15 AM

Page **15** of **22**

- 6.7.1.2. <u>Exclusions</u>: Comparison of data between a known and unknown sample are not consistent with each other.
- 6.7.1.3. <u>Inconclusive</u>: There is not enough data to support an inclusion or exclusion.
- 6.7.2. When an individual is included as the source of a single source profile and the result is probative, a statistical calculation is conducted using the STRmix™ software.
- 6.7.3. When an individual is included as a contributor to a mixture profile and the result is probative, or if the comparison is inconclusive and the result is probative, a statistical calculation is conducted using the STRmix™ software.
- 6.7.4. When an individual is qualitatively excluded as a contributor to a DNA profile/mixture, a statistical calculation will not be performed.

6.8. Statistical Analysis

- 6.8.1. Statistical calculations will be performed using the STRmix™ software, which uses a fully continuous probabilistic genotyping likelihood ratio (LR) model.
- 6.8.2. The LR is calculated using the allele frequencies referenced from the Applied BioSystems Identifiler[®] Plus population data set (Caucasian, African American, and Hispanic) and the Balding and Nichols formulae (NRC II Recommendation 4.2 in "The Evaluation of Forensic DNA Evidence" (1996)) provided in the Appendix of FBS25 − Data Analysis Using STRmix[™]. The theta value of 0.01 is used in the Balding and Nichols formulae.
 - 6.8.2.1. The allele frequencies are then adjusted in STRmix™ using a Bayesian posterior mean frequency to better account for sampling uncertainty with allele counts within a limited population data set. This formula is listed in the Calculations section 8.2.
 - 6.8.2.2. When an allele has not been observed in the database, the posterior mean frequency (f_i) reduces to the formula listed in the Calculations section 8.3.
- 6.8.3. The combined LR calculated by STRmix[™] is referred to as a point estimate. Because the true answer is not known, a credible interval is calculated using the Highest Posterior Density (HPD) method and then applied around the point estimate. This interval accounts for the uncertainty associated with the point estimate LR. The lower bound of the HPD interval is reported from STRmix[™] to be conservative to the accused or person of interest.
- 6.8.4. Statistical calculations will only be reported on evidentiary material, when deemed to be relevant in the context of the case.

FBS21 – Identifiler® Plus Interpretation Guidelines Document Control Number: 2519

Revision: 6

Page **16** of **22**

Approved By: Director Issue Date: 10/6/2020 9:57:15 AM

- 6.8.5. If a sample is run twice in STRmix™ using two different numbers of contributors, report the lowest likelihood ratio (LR) as "at least" from the three population groups used. The number of contributors used to calculate the LR will be documented in the report.
- 6.8.6. Often, DNA profile results originating from the same source(s) are obtained from multiple areas from the same item or from multiple items that are considered associative evidence (i.e., answering the same investigative question based on similar pairs of propositions). These results may be at varying levels of discrimination. In these instances, all LR's will be run through STRmix™ and the lowest LR obtained will be reported. The following guidance is used for reporting statistics when grouping items or stains:
 - 6.8.6.1. If the profiles being grouped together are identical, complete, and single source, run analysis for each profile using STRmix™ and report the lowest LR for the group.
 - 6.8.6.2. If the profiles being grouped together are considered associative evidence, originate from the same source(s), but at varying levels of discrimination, run analysis for each profile using STRmix™ and report each LR.
 - 6.8.6.3. All other items of evidence and scenarios will require individual LRs in the report.
- 6.8.7. Likelihood Ratio (LR)
 - 6.8.7.1. The LR is represented by the following equation:

$$LR = \underline{Pr(E \mid Hp)}$$

$$Pr(E \mid Hd)$$

- 6.8.7.2. A LR requires the evaluation of the probability of the evidence under two competing propositions or hypotheses.
 - 6.8.7.2.1. Hp = the Hypothesis of the prosecution. A sensible proposition will be chosen based on available case information.

Hd = the Hypothesis of the defense. A sensible proposition will be chosen based on available case information and will typically be the opposite of the Hp.

Pr = Probability

E = Evidence

| = Given

6.8.7.3. A point estimate for the LR with associated weighted genotype combinations is then calculated when known

FBS21 – Identifiler® Plus Interpretation Guidelines Document Control Number: 2519

Revision: 6

contributors are compared to the evidence. The general formula used is listed in the Calculations section 8.4.

- 6.8.8. Formulating Pairs of Propositions for Calculating the Likelihood Ratios
 - 6.8.8.1. Multiple pairs of propositions (other than different number of contributors) may be required in certain instances. This information will be documented in the case file. If multiple runs are performed in STRmix™ for multiple pairs of propositions that are evaluated, maintain all analyses in the case file. The analyst will determine which pair of proposition(s) to report based on available case information.
 - 6.8.8.2. Propositions are mutually exclusive (both cannot be true at the same time).
 - 6.8.8.3. The number of contributors in both the Prosecution and the Defense hypotheses will be the same based on the analyst's interpretation obtained from the DNA data.
 - 6.8.8.4. Single Source Profiles:
 - 6.8.8.4.1. Set the Hp (Prosecution) hypothesis first. For single source profiles, the hypothesis may typically include the Person of interest (POI), Victim (V), or other known single individual or unknown individual (U).
 - 6.8.8.4.2. Set the Hd (Defense) hypothesis second. For single source profiles, the hypothesis may typically be unknown (U).
 - 6.8.8.4.3. An example of a pair of propositions for a single source sample is POI/U.
 - 6.8.8.5. Mixture Profiles:
 - 6.8.8.5.1. Set the Hp (Prosecution) hypothesis first. List all contributors that are possible in the numerator. Possibilities may include the Victim (V), the person of interest (POI), any consensual partners (C), any additional knowns (e.g. witnesses) or any additional unknowns (U).
 - 6.8.8.5.2. Set the Hd (Defense) hypothesis second. List all contributors that are possible in the denominator. Possibilities may include the Victim (V), the person of interest (POI), any consensual partners (C), any additional knowns (e.g. witnesses) or any additional unknowns (U).

FBS21 – Identifiler® Plus Interpretation Guidelines **Document Control Number: 2519**

Revision: 6

Approved By: Director

Page **18** of **22**

- 6.8.8.6. <u>Conditioning</u>: Incorporates an assumption that a person can reasonably be included in the profile. Example: the victim can be assumed on her own vaginal swab.
 - 6.8.8.6.1. The conditioned individual will appear in both the numerator and the denominator. More unknowns in the denominator compared to the numerator generally increase the LR.
 - 6.8.8.6.2. Examples of Conditioning with Mixture Samples:
 - 6.8.8.6.2.1. Profile explained by Victim and Person of Interest on a vaginal swab. The possible pairs of propositions include:
 - 1) V+POI/V+U

or

2) V+POI/U+U

The first pair of propositions is more reasonable, as one can expect the victim to be on own vaginal swab.

- 6.8.8.6.2.2. Profile explained by Victim,
 Consensual partner and a Person
 of Interest on a vaginal swab.
 The possible pairs of propositions
 include:
 - 1) V+C+POI/V+C+U

or

2) V+C+POI/V+U+U

or

3) V+C+POI/U+U+U

The first pair of proposition is most reasonable, as one can expect the victim and consensual partner on the victim's vaginal swab.

6.8.8.7. Neutral Evidence: Evidence that cannot be attributed to an individual, such as an item found in a public area (e.g. park, street). In these circumstances, examine all possible pairs of propositions.

 ${\sf FBS21-Identifiler}^{\tt @} \ {\sf Plus} \ {\sf Interpretation} \ {\sf Guidelines}$

Page **19** of **22**Approved By: Director

Document Control Number: 2519

- 6.8.8.7.1. Choose the pair of proposition(s) most reasonable based on the available case information. Be prepared that the pairs of propositions could change.
- 6.8.8.7.2. No conditioning will be conducted on neutral evidence.
- 6.8.8.8. Multiple pairs of propositions may be run on STRmix™. If multiple pairs of propositions are run, all analyses will be maintained in the casefile.

7. Sampling

7.1. Not applicable

8. Calculations

8.1. Heterozygote Balance (Hb) formula:

$$Hb = \frac{O_{HMW}}{O_{LMW}}$$

O = observed peak height

HMW = high molecular weight

LMW = low molecular weight

8.2. Bayesian posterior mean frequency formulae:

$$f_i$$
 = (observed allele frequency)(N) + 1/k
N+1

where $f_{i=posterior}$ mean frequency

N = total number of alleles observed at the locus

k = number of possible alleles

8.3. Posterior mean frequency for alleles not observed in the sampling database:

FBS21 – Identifiler® Plus Interpretat
Document Control Number: 2519

Revision: 6

Approved By: Director

Issue Date: 10/6/2020 9:57:15 AM

8.4. A point estimate for the likelihood ratio (LR) with associated weighted genotype combinations formula:

$$LR_{C} = \frac{\sum_{j} w_{j.} \Pr(S_{j} \mid H_{p})}{\sum_{u} w_{u.} \Pr(S_{u} \mid H_{d})}$$

- 8.4.1. $\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_j \Pr(S_j \mid H_p) = \text{sum of the probabilities of all possible genotypes } (S_j)$ given the prosecution's hypothesis (H_p) (i.e. where the POI is included) with weightings (w_i) applied.
- 8.4.2. $\sum_{u}^{u} w_{u} \Pr(S_{u} \mid H_{d}) = \text{sum of the probabilities of all possible genotypes } (S_{u})$ given the defense's hypothesis (H_{d}) with weightings (w_{u}) applied for an unknown contributor, unrelated to the POI.

9. Uncertainty of Measurement

- 9.1. Sampling uncertainty occurs due to the finite allele probabilities associated with the population samples being used. In STRmix™ an allowance for sampling uncertainty is implemented by adjusting the allele frequencies using a Bayesian posterior mean frequency (i.e. Highest Posterior Density (HPD)) to better account for sampling uncertainty with allele counts within a limited population data set.
- 9.2. The combined LR calculated by STRmix[™] is referred to as a point estimate. Because the true answer is not known, a credible interval is calculated using the Highest Posterior Density (HPD) method and then applied around the point estimate. This interval accounts for the uncertainty associated with the point estimate LR. The lower bound of the HPD interval is reported from STRmix[™] to be conservative to the accused or person of interest.
- 9.3. STRmix[™] uses the Balding and Nichols model (NRC II recommendation 4.2) which applies a co-ancestry coefficient (Θ), a measure of the probability that two alleles taken from two individuals of the same sub-population are identical by descent.

10. Limitations

10.1.Not applicable.

Revision: 6

11. Documentation

FBS21 – Identifiler® Plus Interpretation Guidelines Document Control Number: 2519

Approved By: Director

Page **21** of **22**

- 11.1. The following documents may be generated during the interpretation process:
 - 11.1.1. STRmix™ Advanced Report
 - 11.1.2. FBU Report of Examination

12. References

- 12.1.STRmix™. Resolve More DNA Mixtures 2.3 User's Manual (Current Version)
- 12.2.DFS STRmix™ Internal Validation Report (2015).
- 12.3.Balding, D.J. and R.A Nichols, DNA profile match probability calculation: how to allow for population stratification, relatedness, database selection and single bands. Forensic Science International, (1994). 64: 125-140.
- 12.4.National Research Council. The Evaluation of Forensic DNA Evidence, Washington, DC: Academy Press, 1996. (colloquially referred to as "NRC II").
- 12.5.Applied Biosystems AmpFISTR® Identifiler® Plus PCR Amplification Kit User Guide (current revision).
- 12.6.Quality Assurance Standards for Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories, Federal Bureau of Investigation (current revision).
- 12.7.SWGDAM Interpretation Guidelines for Autosomal STR Typing by Forensic DNA Testing Laboratories (current revision).
- 12.8.ISO/IEC 17025 General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories, International Organization for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland (current revision)
- 12.9.ANSI-ASQ National Accreditation Board Supplemental Requirements for Forensic Test Agencies, Milwaukee, WI (current revision)
- 12.10. Butler, J.M. (2004). Forensic DNA Typing, 2nd Ed. New York: Elsevier Academic Press.1st book
- 12.11. Butler, J.M. (2012). Advanced Topics in Forensic DNA Typing: Methodology. New York: Elsevier Academic Press.
- 12.12. Butler, J.M. (2014). Advanced Topics in Forensic DNA Typing: Interpretation. New York: Elsevier Academic Press.
- 12.13. FBU Report Wording (FBS26)
- 12.14. Forensic Science Laboratory Quality Assurance Manual (current revision)
- 12.15. DFS Departmental Operations Manuals (current revisions)
- 12.16. FSL Laboratory Operations Manuals (current revisions)
- 12.17. FBU Quality Assurance Manual (current revisions)

FBS21 – Identifiler® Plus Interpretation Guidelines

Document Control Number: 2519

Revision: 6

Page 22 of 22

Approved By: Director

Issue Date: 10/6/2020 9:57:15 AM